UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,475	04/12/2004	Winthrop D. Childers	200309746-1	4580
	7590 02/03/201 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Administration			PATEL, NIHIR B	
3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLINS, CO 80528			3772	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM ipa.mail@hp.com laura.m.clark@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/823,475	CHILDERS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NIHIR PATEL	3772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Amer</i>	ndment filed on 10/16/2009					
· <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 18,19,21-36 and 38-43 is/are pending	1)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18,19,21-36 and 38-43</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29-36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18, 19, 21-28 and 38-43</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/823,475 Page 2

Art Unit: 3772

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on October 16<sup>th</sup>, 2010 have been fully considered and are persuasive. In reference to claims 18, 19, 21-25 and 38-41, the applicant argued that page 6 line 29 through page 7 line 9 recites the limitation "...valve is opened to create positive pressure throughout the reservoir, the fluid conduit and the ejector head...", the examiner agrees with the applicant's argument and the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection applied in the office action dated April 30th, 2009 is rendered moot.

The applicant further argues that the applicant's amended claims recite a resistor that heats the fluid with a resistive heating element. The applicant further states that there is no teaching or suggestion that the atomizer of Beavis includes the resistor recited by the applicants (which heats the fluid to generate a vapor bubble which expands to achieve aerosolization by propelling the fluid through an orifice. Even though all this is recited in the applicant's specification, it is not recited in the applicant's claims. If the resistor is a heater used to heat the fluid, the newly applied reference meets that limitation.

### Response to Amendment

2. The examiner acknowledges the amendment filed on October 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009. The amendment comprises amending claims 18, 19, 26, 38 and 42; and cancelling claims 1-17, 20 and 37.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,475 Page 3

Art Unit: 3772

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims **18**, **19**, **21**, **26-28**, **38**, **42** and **43** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beavis et al. (US 7,146,977) in view of Lloyd et al. (US 5,522,385).
- 6. As to claim 18, Beavis substantially discloses an apparatus that comprises a first pressurized supply of fluid in a reservoir 103 (see col. 2 lines 51-55 and col. 3 lines 20-25; the reference recites that "...For purposes of this application, the term aerosol includes airflows containing particles, such as aerosolized liquids, powders, and combination of two.." and the reference recites that "...For the purposes of the invention, the terms pressure, air flow and flow rate are all used interchangeably..." implies that the chamber 103 is pressurized as the phrase "airflow containing particles" implies); a fluid conduit 106 from the supply to an ejector head 111 (see fig. 1); a valve 105 operatively positioned in the fluid conduit between the supply and the ejector head (see fig. 1; col. 2 lines 64-67); and a

programmable controller (see col. 3 lines 24-40); wherein the reservoir 103, the fluid conduit 106, and the ejector head 111 form a fluidically connected fluid delivery unit controlled by the programmable controller 104 (see col. 2 lines 64-67) and capable of operating in a first operational mode and a second maintenance mode; wherein, in the first operational mode of the fluid delivery unit, the ejector head is operable to deliver fluid from the reservoir through the ejector head, the fluid in the ejector head and the fluid conduit being at a lower pressure relative to the fluid in the reservoir (see col. 2 lines 60-67 and col. 3 lines 1-9); and wherein, in the second maintenance mode of the fluid delivery unit, and the valve is opened to create positive pressure throughout the reservoir, the fluid conduit and the ejector head, the positive pressure purging out all remaining fluid from the fluid delivery unit by way of the disabled ejector head, the positive pressure for the second maintenance mode being, generated by opening the valve (see col. 3 lines 58-67 and col. 4 lines 1-19) but does not disclose at least one selectively disabled resistor and disabling the at least one selectively disabled resistor during the second maintenance mode. Lloyd teaches an apparatus that does provide at least one selectively disabled resistor 13/5 and disabling the at least one selectively disabled resistor during the second maintenance mode (see col. 10 lines 8-18; In Lloyd's reference the heating element is implemented only during delivery of the formulation inherently implying that the resistor 13/5 is disabled during the non-delivery state also second maintenance mode). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Beavis's invention by providing at least one selectively disabled resistor and disabling the at least one selectively disabled resistor during the second maintenance mode as taught by Lloyd in order to heat the fluid during the delivery state so that the only material

reaching the patient's is the substantially dry powder drug and disabled the resistor during the second maintenance mode blockage of the system, such as crystal growth and biological contamination that could result from fluid remaining otherwise remain within the conduit.

- 7. **As to claim 19,** Beavis substantially discloses an apparatus that comprises a pressure regulation apparatus in the reservoir to maintain the supply of fluid in a pressurized state (see col. 2 lines 50-67 and col. 3 lines 1-15).
- 8. **As to claim 21**, Beavis substantially discloses an apparatus that comprises a sensor means for monitoring an operational aspect of the ejector head (see col. 2 lines 60-67 and col. 3 lines 15-25).
- 9. As to claim 26, Beavis substantially discloses an apparatus that comprises a first pressurized supply of fluid in a reservoir 103 (see col. 2 lines 51-55 and col. 3 lines 20-25; the reference recites that "...For purposes of this application, the term aerosol includes airflows containing particles, such as aerosolized liquids, powders, and combination of two.." and the reference recites that "...For the purposes of the invention, the terms pressure, air flow and flow rate are all used interchangeably..." implies that the chamber 103 is pressurized as the phrase "airflow containing particles" implies); a fluid conduit 106 (see fig. 1) from the supply to an ejector head 111 (see fig. 1); a first valve 105 (see fig. 1) positioned in the fluid conduit between the supply and the ejector head; a programmable controller 104 (see fig. 1) capable of operating the delivery apparatus in a first operational mode wherein the ejector head is operable to deliver fluid from the supply through the ejector head (see col. 2 lines 60-67 and col. 3 lines 1-15), and in a second maintenance mode fluid is purged through the ejector head; a second pressurized supply of fluid in a reservoir 110 (see fig. 1; col. 3

Page 6

Art Unit: 3772

lines 60-65); a second fluid conduit from the second pressurized supply of fluid to the ejector head (see fig. 1; the conduit connecting conduit 106 is defined as the second fluid conduit); and a second valve 109 (see fig. 1; col. 3 lines 58-67) positioned in the second fluid conduit but does not disclose at least one selectively disabled resistor and disabling the at least one selectively disabled resistor during the second maintenance mode. Lloyd teaches an apparatus that does provide at least one selectively disabled resistor 13/5 and disabling the at least one selectively disabled resistor during the second maintenance mode (see col. 10 lines 8-18; In Lloyd's reference the heating element is implemented only during delivery of the formulation inherently implying that the resistor 13/5 is disabled during the non-delivery state also second maintenance mode). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Beavis's invention by providing at least one selectively disabled resistor and disabling the at least one selectively disabled resistor during the second maintenance mode as taught by Lloyd in order to heat the fluid during the delivery state so that the only material reaching the patient's is the substantially dry powder drug and disabled the resistor during the second maintenance mode blockage of the system, such as crystal growth and biological contamination that could result from fluid remaining otherwise remain within the conduit.

- 10. **As to claim 27**, Beavis substantially discloses an apparatus wherein the fluid in the first pressurized supply of fluid comprises a medication (see col. 1 lines 43-55).
- 11. **As to claim 28,** Beavis substantially discloses an apparatus wherein the fluid in the second pressurized supply of fluid comprises a maintenance fluid (see col. 3 lines 60-67 and col. 4 lines 1-20).

12. As to claim 38, Beavis substantially discloses an apparatus that comprises an ejector head 111; a pressurizable supply of fluid in a reservoir 103 (see col. 2 lines 51-55 and col. 3 lines 20-25; the reference recites that "...For purposes of this application, the term aerosol includes airflows containing particles, such as aerosolized liquids, powders, and combination of two.." and the reference recites that "...For the purposes of the invention, the terms pressure, air flow and flow rate are all used interchangeably..." implies that the chamber 103 is pressurized as the phrase "airflow containing particles" implies), the reservoir having a pressure regulation apparatus that supplies fluid to the ejector head at a controllable pressure (see col. 2 lines 60-67 and col. 3 lines 1-15); a fluid conduit 106 (see fig. 1) from the reservoir to the ejector head; a valve 105 (see fig.; col. 2 lines 60-67) in the fluid conduit positioned between the reservoir and the ejector head (see fig. 1); and a control system 104 (see fig. 1; col. 2 lines 60-67); wherein the reservoir, the fluid conduit, and the ejector head form a fluidically connected fluid delivery unit controlled by the control system (see col. 2 lines 60-67), the control system being configured to control the fluid supply system in two different modes including (a) an operating mode wherein the fluid is supplied to the ejector head with an operational pressure such that the fluid in the ejector head and the fluid conduit are at a lower pressure relative to the fluid in the reservoir (see col. 2 lines 60-67 and col. 3 lines 1-15) and (b) an ejector head purge mode and the valve is opened to create positive pressure throughout the reservoir, the fluid conduit and the ejector head, the positive pressure purging out all remaining fluid from the fluid delivery unit by way of the disabled ejector head, the positive pressure for the ejector head purge mode being generated by opening the valve (see col. 3 lines 58-67 and col. 4 lines 1-25) but does not disclose at least one selectively disabled resistor and disabling the

Application/Control Number: 10/823,475

Art Unit: 3772

Page 8

at least one selectively disabled resistor during the second maintenance mode. Lloyd teaches an apparatus that does provide at least one selectively disabled resistor 13/5 and disabling the at least one selectively disabled resistor during the second maintenance mode (see col. 10 lines 8-18; In Lloyd's reference the heating element is implemented only during delivery of the formulation inherently implying that the resistor 13/5 is disabled during the non-delivery state also second maintenance mode). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Beavis's invention by providing at least one selectively disabled resistor and disabling the at least one selectively disabled resistor and disabling the patient's is the substantially dry powder drug and disabled the resistor during the second maintenance mode blockage of the system, such as crystal growth and biological contamination that could result from fluid remaining otherwise remain within the conduit.

13. As to claim 42, Beavis substantially discloses an apparatus that comprises an ejector head 111; a fluid supply system having a pressure regulation apparatus that supplies fluid to the ejector head at a controllable pressure; and a control system configured to control the fluid supply system in two different modes including: (a) an operating mode wherein the fluid is supplied to the ejector head with an operational pressure (see col. 2 lines 60-67 and col. 3 lines 1-15); and (b) an ejector head purge mode, and the fluid supply pressure is at a purge pressure that is different from the operational pressure; wherein the fluid supply system includes first and second fluids, and wherein the control system is configured for supplying the first fluid to the ejector head in the operating mode and the second fluid to the ejector head in the ejector head

purge mode (see col. 3 lines 58-67 and col. 4 lines 1-25) but does not disclose at least one selectively disabled resistor and disabling the at least one selectively disabled resistor during the second maintenance mode. Lloyd teaches an apparatus that does provide at least one selectively disabled resistor 13/5 and disabling the at least one selectively disabled resistor during the second maintenance mode (see col. 10 lines 8-18; In Lloyd's reference the heating element is implemented only during delivery of the formulation inherently implying that the resistor 13/5 is disabled during the non-delivery state also second maintenance mode). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Beavis's invention by providing at least one selectively disabled resistor and disabling the at least one selectively disabled resistor during the second maintenance mode as taught by Lloyd in order to heat the fluid during the delivery state so that the only material reaching the patient's is the substantially dry powder drug and disabled the resistor during the second maintenance mode blockage of the system, such as crystal growth and biological contamination that could result from fluid remaining otherwise remain within the conduit.

- 14. **As to claim 43,** Beavis substantially discloses an apparatus that comprises first fluid that comprises a medication and the second fluid comprises a maintenance fluid (see col. 1 lines 40-45; col. 2 lines 50-60; and col. 3 lines 58-67).
- 15. Claims **22-24** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beavis et al. (US 7,146,977) in view of Lloyd et al. (US 5,522,385) further in view of Poole (US 6,158,431).
- 16. **As to claims 22 and 23**, Beavis/Lloyd substantially discloses the claimed invention; see rejection of claim 18 above, but does not disclose a sensor means (temperature sensor capable of

Application/Control Number: 10/823,475

Art Unit: 3772

measuring the temperature of a portion of the ejector head controlled by the programmable controller) for monitoring an operational aspect of the ejector head. Poole teaches an apparatus that does provide a sensor means (temperature sensor capable of measuring the temperature of a portion of the ejector head controlled by the programmable controller) for monitoring an operational aspect of the ejector head (see col. 12 lines 60-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Beavis/Lloyd inventions by providing a sensor means (temperature sensor capable of measuring the temperature of a portion of the ejector head controlled by the programmable controller) for monitoring an operational aspect of the ejector head as taught by Poole in order to provide more accurate dose of medicament and to prevent the liquid from jamming the ejector head.

Page 10

17. **As to claim 24,** Beavis/Lloyd substantially discloses the claimed invention; see rejection of claim 18 above, but does not disclose a sensor means that comprises a counter for counting the number of times that the ejector head has been activated. Poole teaches an apparatus that does provide a sensor means that comprises a counter for counting the number of times that the ejector head has been activated (see col. 13 lines 1-13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Beavis/Lloyd inventions by providing a sensor means that comprises a counter for counting the number of times that the ejector head has been activated as taught by Poole so that the user knows a head of time when to replace the pressurized fluid reservoir.

- 18. Claims **25 and 39-41** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beavis et al. (US 7,146,977) in view of Lloyd et al. (US 5,522,385) further in view of Koemer et al. (US 2004/0195352).
- 19. **As to claim 25**, Beavis/Lloyd substantially discloses the claimed invention; see rejection of claim 18 above, but does not disclose a clock for measuring the time interval from a prior maintenance mode. Koerner teaches an apparatus that comprises a clock for measuring the time interval from a prior maintenance mode (see paragraph [0023]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Beavis/Lloyd inventions by providing a clock for measuring the time interval from a prior maintenance mode as taught by Koerner in order to provide medication to the patient at a proper time interval.
- 20. **As to claim 39**, Beavis/Lloyd substantially discloses the claimed invention; see rejection of claim 38 above, but does not disclose an apparatus wherein the ejector head that includes thermal drop generators. Koerner discloses an apparatus wherein the ejector head includes thermal drop generators (see figure 1; paragraph [00231). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Beavis/Lloyd by providing an apparatus wherein the ejector head that includes thermal drop generators as taught by Koerner so that any liquid residues present evaporate and are discharged.
- As to claim 40, Beavis/Lloyd substantially discloses the claimed invention; see rejection of claim 38 above, but does not disclose an apparatus wherein the fluid at the operational pressure is at a negative gauge pressure. Koerner discloses an apparatus wherein the fluid at the operational pressure is at a negative gauge pressure (see paragraph [00211). Therefore, it would

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Beavis/Lloyd inventions by providing an apparatus wherein the fluid at the operational pressure is at a negative gauge pressure as taught by Koerner in order to provide medication to a patient with the correct amount of pressure.

22. **As to claim 41,** Beavis/Lloyd substantially discloses the claimed invention; see rejection of claim 38 above, but does not disclose an apparatus wherein the fluid at the purge pressure is at a positive gauge pressure. Koerner teaches an apparatus wherein the fluid at the purge pressure is at a positive gauge pressure (**see paragraph [0021]**). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Beavis/Lloyd inventions by providing an apparatus wherein the fluid at the purge pressure is at a positive gauge pressure as taught by Koerner in order to remove any residues remaining within the system.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NIHIR PATEL whose telephone number is (571)272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:30 every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,475 Page 13

Art Unit: 3772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nihir Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 3772

/Patricia Bianco/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772